Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/723,982	BINETTE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Satyendra K. Singh	1657
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Satyendra K. Singh.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Charlton Shen (attorney of record).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 August 2007	Time: <u>10:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 4,6, 14-16		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set 	on. The examiner will provide a warate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
6)		,
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney of record Mr. Charlton Shen (phone 617-439-2782) was contacted by the Examiner (telephonically, after the patentability conference with the Primary examiner Irene Marx and SPE Jon Weber) and was provided (by a fascimile) with a proposed Examiner's Amendment of the pending claims (especially claims 4, 6, 14, 16 and 19-21). Applicant's attorney discussed the issues with claims (in particular amended claims 4, 14 and 16, and cancelling claim 15), and agreed with the proposed Examiner's amendedment to the claims, as approved by the Patentability conference.